

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,180	05/09/2002		Jean-Louis Mercier	08641-021001	6190
7:	590	10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
Fish & Richar			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
Boston, MA 02110-2804				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/009,180	MERCIER, JEAN-LOUIS						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2005.							
· <u> </u>	•—							
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-75</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
·· _	r							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/009,180

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuler in view of Takubo (see col. 1, lines 23-33 and col. 3, lines 1-6). Schuler is applied for reasons of record, the reference essentially lacking a clear disclosure that the mold used is in the shape of the desired frame (although instant claim 69 is not so limited) and that the periphery of the lens is not trimmed by more than a few millimeters prior to securing the lens in the frame. Schuler certainly discloses that the gasket used—and hence the mold, unless leakage were to occur—would be noncircular. Newly found Takubo teaches that it is known in the art to fashion lens molds in the shape of the desired frame by machining the mold blanks so that the finished mold has the "same shape and size" as the lens hole of the frame. Hence, it is submitted that the use of a non-circular mold which matches the shape and size of the desired frame would be known from the combination as applied. As noted in the instant specification, the need to trim more than the instantly recited amount of material is a consequence of having to fashion non-circular lenses (to fit in corresponding non-circular frames) from lens blanks molded in circular molds. Clearly, the use of a non-circular, matched-to-theframe mold would not require such a large amount of material to be removed and in fact Application/Control Number: 10/009,180

Art Unit: 1732

would, in some cases, probably result in lenses which would require no trimming at all, but merely grinding to reach the desired prescription.

2.Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments have been essentially addressed in the rejection supra. While Schuler may not explicitly teach using a mold with the same shape as the frame, it is rather clear that Takubo does and that the mold would subsequently be used to make lenses therefrom. The trimming--or lack thereof-- recited by applicant would have been an obvious feature given the combination as applied. Clearly, if the mold were made in the shape of the frame, one would not trim any more than a little bit from the edges. Otherwise, the lens probably would not fit in the frame.

3.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,180

Art Unit: 1732

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot September 28, 2005 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

M. Varget

Page 4

9/23/0